UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,713	03/10/2004	Rolf U. Halden	JHUHLD1	4304
49383 7590 02/20/2009 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 55874			BEISNER, WILLIAM H	
BUSTON, MA	BOSTON, MA 02205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,713	HALDEN, ROLF U.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	WILLIAM H. BEISNER	1797
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 c This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-28,33 and 37-45 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-28, 33 and 37-45 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/797,713 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/13/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 17-28, 33 and 37-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 17, 19, 20, 25-28 and 41-44 all appear to invoke 35 USC 112, 6th.paragraph, by reciting the following "means plus function" language:

Claim 17: "means for containing and protecting" and "means for covering".

Claims 19 and 20: "means for causing fluid flow"; "means for collecting and retaining"; and "means for preventing backflow".

Claims 24-28: "means for containing".

Claims 41-44: "means for remotely controlling".

The above claims are considered as failing to comply with the written description requirement because the written description (specifically the specification) fails to link or associate particular structure, material, or acts to the function recited in a means-(or step-) plus function claim limitations. Review of the instant specification fails to clearly link or associate particular structure, material, or acts to the function recited in the means plus function limitations listed above.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 17-28, 33 and 37-45 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 17, 19, 20, 25-28 and 41-44 all appear to invoke 35 USC 112, 6th.paragraph, by reciting the following "means plus function" language:

Claim 17: "means for containing and protecting" and "means for covering".

Claims 19 and 20: "means for causing fluid flow"; "means for collecting and retaining"; and "means for preventing backflow".

Claims 24-28: "means for containing".

Claims 41-44: "means for remotely controlling".

The above claims are considered to be indefinite because the metes and bounds of the claims cannot be clearly determined since the specification fails to clearly link or associate particular structure, material, or acts to the function recited in the means plus function limitations listed above.

Claim 17 is additionally indefinite because it is not clear how sampled microorganisms can be maintained in a block with flowthrough openings. It is suggested that the claim language further include "wherein the holes are configured to allow fluid to flow from an inlet end to an outlet end while retaining microorganisms within the microcosms defined by the solid block".

Claim 45 is indefinite because "said microtiter plate" lacks antecedent basis.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 17-28, 33 and 37-45 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st and 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 17-28, 33 and 37-45 would be allowable if amended to clearly define the structure of "a rapidly, exchangeable microtiter plate" or "multiwell plate" because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest replacing the fluid flow paths (29, 41, 42, 43) of the reference of Halliburton (US 2,609,878) with "a rapidly, exchangeable microtiter plate" or a block of material with a plurality of through-holes (microcosms) wherein the holes are configured to allow fluid to flow from an inlet end to an outlet end while retaining microorganisms within the microcosms defined by the plate.

Art Unit: 1797

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WILLIAM H. BEISNER whose telephone number is (571)272-1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues. to Fri. and alt. Mon. from 6:15am to 3:45pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William H. Beisner/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

WHB